In re application of: Jerald C. Seelig et al.

Serial number: 10/757,613

Page 6

THE AMENDMENT

Claims 1-8 and 10-22 are in the case. Claims 1, 10-12 and 19 have been amended.

Claims 23-54 have been withdrawn. Claim 9 has been canceled.

The amendment to claim 1 is supported by claim 9 (now canceled) and "movement of the

first animated element at various movement rates" found in claim 19 (now amended).

The amendment to claims 10-12 is to provide proper antecedent basis with claim 1, now

that claim 9 has been canceled.

Applicants respectfully submit that the Amendment does not introduce new matter and

request that the Amendment be entered.

<u>REMARKS</u>

1. Rejection of claims 1-16 and 20-22 as being obvious over Fey (Slot Machines, A Pictorial

History of the First 100 Years).

Claims 1-16 and 20-22 were rejected as being unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over

Fey. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

The Office contends that the breadth of independent claim 1 is based on "animation"

involving multiple pointers having only aesthetic value and no functional value, and is, therefore,

not patentably distinguishable from the prior art. The Office further contends that independent

claim 1 lacks any mechanical details (presumably related to function of the various elements).

Applicants submit that newly amended claim 1 has addressed the Office's

aforementioned points by including actuator and controller elements (see previous claim 9)

associated with the function of moving the first animated element at various movement rates (see

previous claim 19). Applicants submit that there is no suggestion or disclosure in Fey regarding

In re application of: Jerald C. Seelig et al.

Serial number: 10/757,613

Page 7

use of an actuator and a controller associated with the function of moving an animated element at

various movement rates, as required by Applicants' claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicants

respectfully request that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) be withdrawn.

2. Rejection of claims 17 and 18 as being obvious over Fey (Slot Machines, A Pictorial

History of the First 100 Years) as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Nordman (U.S.

Patent No. 6,712,694).

Claims 17 and 18 were rejected as being unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Fey in

view of Nordman. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Applicants submit that newly amended claim 1 (see discussion in Section 1, above), from

which claims 17-18 are dependent, has provided a novel and non-obvious gaming system, whose

elements are not suggested or disclosed by the cited references, taken either alone or in

combination. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) be withdrawn.

**CONCLUSION** 

For all of the above reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is

in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any questions regarding the application or this

response, the Examiner is encouraged to call Applicant's attorney, Ian F. Burns, at (775) 826-

6160.

Respectfully submitted,

/thomas i howell/

Thomas J. Howell, PhD.

Agent for Applicants

Registration No. 34,351